

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

June 2002

LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA EXTREME DRAWDOWN AND HABITAT ENHANCEMENT PROJECT OSCEOLA COUNTY, FLORIDA

VOLUME II

**LEAD AGENCY: Jacksonville District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
COOPERATING AGENCIES: South Florida Water Management District
and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission**



**U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Jacksonville District**

VOLUME II

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA EXTREME DRAWDOWN AND HABITAT ENHANCEMENT PROJECT OSCEOLA COUNTY, FLORIDA

Integrated Surface and Groundwater Model for Lake Tohopekaliga Drawdown Project



**U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Jacksonville District**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	0-1
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Background and Objectives	1-1
1.2	Structure and Content of this Report	1-4
2	MODEL FORMULATION	2-1
2.1	Understanding of the Hydrologic System	2-1
2.2	Conceptual Model	2-2
2.2.1	Model Area	2-3
2.2.2	Surface Water	2-3
2.2.3	Groundwater	2-4
2.2.4	Infiltration and Evapotranspiration	2-5
3	MODEL BUILDING	3-1
3.1	The MIKE SHE / MIKE11 Modeling System	3-1
3.2	Model Building Approach	3-4
3.3	Coordinate System and Units	3-4
3.4	Surface Topography and Model Area	3-4
3.5	Modeling Scales and Horizontal Discretization	3-7
3.6	Rainfall	3-7
3.7	Unsaturated Zone Model Component	3-9
3.8	Evapotranspiration Model Component	3-13
3.9	Irrigation Model Component	3-16
3.10	Hydraulic Model Component	3-19
3.11	Saturated Zone Model Component	3-23
3.11.1	Geology and Hydrogeology	3-23
3.11.2	Initial Conditions	3-32
3.11.3	Boundary Conditions	3-32
3.11.4	Drainage	3-34
3.12	Local Model of the Lake Toho Area	3-34
4	MODEL CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION	4-1
4.1	Calibration and Validation Approach	4-1
4.2	Calibration Targets	4-3
4.3	Calibration Results	4-6
4.3.1	Water Budget and General Results	4-6
4.3.2	Runoff	4-13
4.3.3	Lake Stages	4-18
4.3.4	Groundwater Stages	4-19
4.3.5	Calibrated Hydraulic Conductivities	4-28
4.3.6	Local Model Calibration	4-31
4.4	Validation Results	4-35
4.5	Assessment of the Predictive Power of the Model	4-41



5	SCENARIO SIMULATIONS	5-1
5.1	Formulation of Scenarios	5-1
5.2	Scenario Simulation Results	5-3
5.2.1	Scenario A.1 and B.1	5-3
5.2.2	Scenario A.2 and B.2	5-7
5.2.3	Scenario A.3 and B.3	5-10
5.2.4	Scenario A.4 and B.4	5-13
5.2.5	Scenario A.5 and B.5	5-16
5.2.6	Scenario A.1 and B.1, Local Model	5-22
5.2.7	Scenario A.1 and B.1 With Increased Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity, Regional Model	5-25
6	CONCLUSIONS.....	6-1
7	REFERENCES	7-1

APPENDICES

- A Surface Topography
- B Rainfall and Reference Evapotranspiration
- C Lakes and Channels
- D Landuse and Vegetation
- E Geology and Hydrogeology
- F Calibration and Validation



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 0-1 Extent of the Project Area with Location of Major Lakes and Fish Farms.....	0-3
Figure 1-1 The Study Area with Location of Fish Farms.	1-3
Figure 3-1 Structure of the MIKE SHE / MIKE11 Modeling System.....	3-2
Figure 3-2 Interpolated Surface Topography, sub-basins and regional model area.	3-6
Figure 3-3 Rainfall Stations used in the Lake Toho ISGM and location of Weather stations S-61 and WRWX.	3-8
Figure 3-4 Digitized General Soil Maps for Osceola and Orange Counties.	3-11
Figure 3-5 Landuse Within the Project Area	3-14
Figure 3-6 Irrigated Areas based on SFWMD Irrigation Permit Map.	3-18
Figure 3-7 Layout of the Hydraulic Model. The red lines represent center- lines for canals and lakes included in the model.....	3-20
Figure 3-8 Geo-referenced Bit-map of Cypress Lake bottom elevation imported into ArcView GIS for digitizing	3-21
Figure 3-9 Example of Cross Section in Lake Toho as Represented in MIKE11's Cross-Section Editor.....	3-22
Figure 3-10 Geological Profile Lines and Boreholes.	3-27
Figure 3-11 Example of a Geologic Transect (Profile WE-03, Elevation and length units in feet).3-28	3-28
Figure 3-12 Bottom of Surficial Aquifer	3-29
Figure 3-13 Thickness of Surficial Aquifer.....	3-30
Figure 3-14 Thickness of the Hawthorn Formation	3-31
Figure 3-15 Potential Head in the Upper Floridan Aquifer (digitized after Planert and Aucott (1985)).	3-33
Figure 3-16 Location of the Local Scale Model and Applied Boundary Condition Types	3-36
Figure 3-17 Layout of the Local Hydraulic Model (Red Lines indicate branch center lines for branches/lakes included in the hydraulic model.).....	3-37
Figure 3-18 Outline Transect of the Fanny Bass Creek from Lake Toho to Fanny Bass Pond (not in scale).....	3-38
Figure 4-1 Priority 1 and 2 areas for model calibration and validation	4-7
Figure 4-2 Water Budget for the Regional Model Area (numbers in inches).....	4-8
Figure 4-3 Exchange Flows with the Upper Floridan Aquifer (inches/year) for the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 2000	4-9
Figure 4-4 Average Actual Evapotranspiration (inches/year) for the period January 1, 1998 through December 31, 2000	4-10
Figure 4-5 Average Groundwater Depths in feet (January 1998 – December 2000). Negative values indicate groundwater table above ground surface.....	4-12
Figure 4-6 Simulated Runoff at S-61 located at the Outlet of Lake Toho	4-14
Figure 4-7 Simulated and Observed Runoff at S-63 located downstream of Lake Gentry	4-15
Figure 4-8 Simulated and Observed Water Level in Lake Alligator and in Lake Toho	4-19
Figure 4-9 Observed Water Level in Lake Toho and Groundwater Level at Sunset Tropicals....	4-21
Figure 4-10 Wells used for Model Calibration and Absolute Average Residual between simulated and observed data.....	4-22
Figure 4-11 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Monthly rainfall and simulated actual evapotranspiration at Sunset Tropical Farms.....	4-24
Figure 4-12 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table and Monthly Rainfall and Simulated actual evapotranspiration at Toho 1.....	4-25



Figure 4-13 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table and Monthly Rainfall and Simulated Actual Evapotranspiration at OS-181.....	4-26
Figure 4-14 Calibrated Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivities.....	4-30
Figure 4-15 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table at Toho 1	4-32
Figure 4-16 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table at Toho 10	4-33
Figure 4-17 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table at Sunset.....	4-34
Figure 4-18 Simulated and Observed Groundwater Table at OS-181, Model Validation.....	4-37
Figure 4-19 Observed and Simulated Runoff at S-61, Model Validation.....	4-39
Figure 4-20 Observed and Simulated Runoff at S-63, Model Validation.....	4-40
Figure 5-1 Regulation Schedules and Simulated Water Levels in Lake Toho for Scenario A.1 and B.1.....	5-4
Figure 5-2 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1, Toho 2 and at Sunset Tropicals, for Scenarios A.1 and B.1, November 2001 through December 2003.....	5-5
Figure 5-3 Simulated Difference in Groundwater Level between Scenario A.1 and B.1 on June 29, 2002	5-6
Figure 5-4 Regulation Schedules and Simulated Water Levels in Lake Toho for Scenario A.2 and B.2.....	5-8
Figure 5-5 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1, Toho2 and at Sunset for Scenarios A.2 and B.2, November 2001 through December 2003.....	5-9
Figure 5-6 Regulation Schedules and Simulated Water Levels in Lake Toho for Scenario A.3 and B.3.....	5-11
Figure 5-7 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1, Toho2 and at Sunset for Scenarios A.3 and B.3, November 2001 through December 2003.....	5-12
Figure 5-8 Regulation Schedules and Simulated Water Levels in Lake Toho for Scenario A.4 and B.4.....	5-14
Figure 5-9 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1 and Sunset for Scenarios A.4 and B.4, November 2001 through December 2003	5-15
Figure 5-10 Regulation Schedules and Simulated Water Levels in Lake Toho for Scenario A.5 and B.5	5-17
Figure 5-11 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1, Toho2 and at Sunset Tropicals, for Scenarios A.5 and B.5, November 2001 through December 2003	5-18
Figure 5-12 Simulated Water Level in Lake Toho for all Normal Regulation Scenarios.....	5-19
Figure 5-13 Simulated Water Level in Lake Toho for all Drawdown Scenarios	5-20
Figure 5-14 Simulated Groundwater Tables at Toho 1 and Sunset for all Drawdown Scenarios (B Scenarios), November 2001 through December 2003.	5-21
Figure 5-15 Simulated Groundwater Table at Toho 1 for Scenario A.1 and B.1	5-23
Figure 5-16 Simulated Groundwater Table at Sunset for Scenario A.1 and B.1	5-24
Figure 5-17 Simulated Groundwater Table at Toho1, Toho2 and at Sunset for Scenario A.1 and B.2 with horizontal hydraulic conductivities (Kh) multiplied with a factor 2.....	5-26
Figure 5-18 Simulated Groundwater Table at Toho1, Toho2 and at Sunset for Scenario A.1 and B.2 with horizontal hydraulic conductivities (Kh) multiplied with a factor 5.....	5-27



Table 3-1 Simulation Modules in the MIKE SHE / MIKE11 Modeling System	3-3
Table 3-2 List of Model Input Data and Parameters for MIKE SHE / MIKE11	3-3
Table 3-3 Average yearly rainfall (1997-2000) at rainfall stations used in the model.....	3-9
Table 3-4 General Soil, Representative Soil types and Soil Physical Properties, Osceola County..	3-12
Table 3-5 General Soil, Representative Soil types and Soil Physical Properties, Orange County ..	3-12
Table 3-6 Land Use Statistics within the Project Area.....	3-15
Table 3-7 Link between Crop Types used in MIKE SHE and FLUCSS Land Use Codes	3-16
Table 4-1 Calibration Targets for Cumulated Runoff.....	4-5
Table 4-2 Cumulated monthly and Yearly Runoff at S-61 (Lake Toho Outlet).....	4-16
Table 4-3 Cumulated monthly and Yearly Runoff at S-63 (Lake Gentry Outlet)	4-17
Table 4-4 Groundwater Calibration Statistics, Regional Model.	4-27
Table 4-5 Groundwater Validation Statistics, Regional Model.	4-38
Table 4-6 Cumulated Runoff at S-61 (Outlet of Lake Toho) for the Validation Run.	4-41
Table 4-7 Cumulated Runoff at S-63 (Outlet of Lake Gentry) for the Validation Run.....	4-41
Table 5-1 Scenario Matrix Outlining the 10 scenarios for the Regional Model.	5-2